arXiv:1203.6712v1 [math.AG] 30 Mar 2012

TAME SYMBOLS AND RECIPROCITY LAWS ON ARITHMETIC SURFACES

DONGWEN LIU

Abstract. We define and study tame symbols for two-dimensional local fields, which are closely related to Kato's residue homomorphisms in Milnor K-theory and also explicitly related to Contou-Carrère symbols. As applications we establish several reciprocity laws for tame symbols on arithmetic surfaces.

1. Introduction

For a projective algebraic curve C over a perfect field k, the famous Weil reciprocity law states that for $f, g \in k(C)^\times$, $\{f, g\}_p = 1$ for almost all closed point $p \in C$, and

$$\prod_{p\in C} N_{k(p)/k}\{f,g\}_p = 1,$$

where $k(p)$ is the residue field of p, $N_{k(p)/k}$ stands for the norm map, and $\{f, g\}_p$ is the one-dimensional tame symbol defined by

$$\{f,g\}_p = (-1)^{\nu_p(f)\nu_p(g)} \frac{f^{\nu_p(g)}}{g^{\nu_p(f)}}(p).$$

The Weil reciprocity law, as well as the analogous reciprocity law for residues of differential forms, can be proved by reduction to $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ (see e.g. [20]), in which case everything can be calculated explicitly. On the other hand, in [21] Tate gave an intrinsic proof of the residue formula for differential forms by defining the local residues in terms of traces of certain linear operators on infinite dimensional vector spaces. This approach and its multiplicative analog were further developed by many authors (see e.g. [1] [2] [16] [19]) with applications to reciprocity laws on algebraic curves and surfaces. In particular, Osipov and Zhu [16] gave a categorical proof of the Parshin reciprocity laws for two-dimensional tame symbols on algebraic surfaces, where the notion of non-strictly commutative Picard groupoid (cf. [5]) was adopted.

Instead of algebraic surfaces, in this paper we will study the tame symbols and reciprocity laws on an arithmetic surface $X \to S$, where S is the spectrum of a characteristic zero Dedekind domain $\mathcal{O}_K$ with finite residue fields. For example we may take $\mathcal{O}_K$ to be the ring of integers of a number field K. For any closed point x of X lying over a closed point s of S, and an irreducible curve $y \subset X$ passing through x, we will obtain a two-dimensional local field $K_{x,y}$ and a local tame symbol $\{,\}_{x,y}$, which is a Steinberg symbol

$$\{,\}_{x,y} : K_{x,y}^\times \times K_{x,y}^\times \to K_s^\times.$$

Date: March 1, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H25, Secondary 11S70.

Key words and phrases. Tame symbols, reciprocity laws, arithmetic surfaces.

Then our main results contain the following three reciprocity laws:
(i) Reciprocity around a fixed point x (Theorem 4.3): let $f, g \in K(X)_x^\times$, then $\{f, g\}_{x,y} = 1$ for almost all curves y passing through x, and $\prod_{y \ni x} \{f, g\}_{x,y} = 1$;
(ii) Reciprocity along a vertical curve y (Theorem 5.1): let $f, g \in K(X)_y^\times$, then $\prod_{x \in y} \{f, g\}_{x,y}$ converges to 1 in $K_s^\times$;
(iii) Reciprocity along a horizontal curve y (Theorem 5.7): assume K is a number field, compacify X and S by including archimedean places, and use an idele class character χ to induce local symbols $\chi_{x,y} : K_{x,y}^\times \times K_{x,y}^\times \to S^1$. Let $f, g \in K(X)_y^\times$, then $\chi_{x,y}(f, g) = 1$ for almost all $x \in y$, and $\prod_{x \in y} \chi_{x,y}(f, g) = 1$.

These results are inspired by Morrow's work [14] [15] on dualising sheaves and residues of differential forms on arithmetic surfaces, as well as Osipov and Zhu's work [16] on Parshin reciprocity laws on algebraic surfaces. Our basic settings and strategy of proofs follow Morrow's papers, and the new ingredients will be discussed in the paragraphs below. We remark that it will be interesting to investigate the relations between residues and the multiplicative analog via certain exponential homomorphisms, see e.g. [8] [10]. Also for future works, it is natural and important to consider applications to higher adelic method, e.g. generalizing the adelic approach to intersection theory, chern classes and L-functions in [17] from algebraic surfaces to arithmetic surfaces.

To construct local tame symbols, given a two-dimensional local field F and an embedding of a local field k into F, we will define a Steinberg symbol

$$\{,\}_{F/k} : F^\times \times F^\times \to k^\times.$$

In contrast to the categorical approach as developed in [16] for algebraic surfaces, our definition of tame symbols makes use of explicit structures of two-dimensional local fields, in particular that of the so-called standard fields of mixed characteristic. In that case, we will write down the explicit formula (see section 3.2) of the symbol, which turns out to be equivalent to Kato's residue homomorphisms [9] in terms of Milnor K-theory. The reciprocity law is then obtained by direct calculations, and definition of the symbol extends to non-standard fields once we apply the norm map in K-theory and establish the functorial properties. The passage from standard fields to non-standard ones can be viewed as generalizations of the classical methods of "reduction to $\mathbb{P}^1$", which we mentioned at the beginning.

An important feature of our tame symbol for standard fields is that, after modulo a power of the maximal ideal it coincides with the famous Contou-Carrère symbol (see section 3.3). Thus we may derive the rigidity of the tame symbol from the fact that Contou-Carrr̀e symbols are invariant under reparametrizations. On the other hand, this connection suggests that it is also possible to give a treatment of Tate's style (cf. [2]) and we hope to address this question somewhere else.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 as preliminaries we briefly review Kato's theory of residue homomorphisms, and prove a local-global norm formula for K-groups of a finite extension of Dedekind domains. In section 3 we define the tame symbols for two-dimensional local fields, establish the rigidities and functorialities, and discuss the relations with Kato's theory as well as Contou-Carrère symbols. In section 4 we apply previous results to prove a reciprocity for certain two-dimensional normal local rings, and then geometrize to prove the reciprocity around a closed point on an arithmetic surface. In section 5 we prove the reciprocities along irreducible vertical and horizontal curves, using certain density arguments. The original Weil reciprocity also plays an important role in the course of the proof.

In the paper, if A is a ring, we write $\mathfrak{p} \lhd^1 A$ to indicate that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a height 1 prime ideal of A.

2. Milnor's K-theory and Kato's residue homomorphisms

In this section we briefly review Kato's definition of residue homomorphisms for two-dimensional local fields of mixed characteristic. Although for our purpose we are only concerned with K_2, let us recall the general construction from [9] for completeness.

For a field k, let $K_n(k)$ $(n \geq 0)$ be the nth Milnor's K-group of k (cf. [12] [13]), i.e. $K_n(k) = (k^\times)^{\otimes n}/J$, where $J = \langle a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n, a_i + a_j = 1 \text{ for some } i \neq j\rangle$. For a discrete valuation field k, let ν_k be the normalized additive discrete valuation of k. Let

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \{x \in k : \nu_k(x) \geq 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_k = \{x \in k : \nu_k(x) \geq 1\},$$
$$U_k^i = \{x \in k : \nu_k(x-1) \geq i\}, \ i \geq 1.$$

The residue class field of k is denoted by $\bar{k}$, and for $x \in \mathcal{O}_k$ let $\bar{x}$ be the residue class of x in $\bar{k}$. For $i \geq 1$ let $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} U^i K_n(k)$ be the graded ideal of $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_n(k)$ generated by elements of $U_k^i \subset k^\times = K_1(k)$. Let

$$\widehat{K}_n(k) = \varprojlim_i K_n(k)/U^i K_n(k).$$

If $\hat{k}$ is the completion of k, then there are canonical isomorphisms $K_n(k)/U^i K_n(k) \cong K_n(\hat{k})/U^i K_n(\hat{k})$, $i \geq 1$ and $\widehat{K}_n(k) \cong \widehat{K}_n(\hat{k})$.

Let us recall the boundary homomorphism ∂ and the norm homomorphism of Milnor's K-group [4]. For a discrete valuation field k, there exists the *higher tame symbol*, which is a unique homomorphism

$$\partial : K_{n+1}(k) \to K_n(\bar{k}) \quad (n \geq 0)$$

such that

$$\partial\{x_1, \cdots, x_n, y\} = \nu_k(y) \cdot \{\bar{x}_1, \cdots, \bar{x}_n\}$$

for any $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$ and $y \in k^\times$. For a given parameter π, there is also a *specilization map*

$$\lambda_\pi : K_n(k) \to K_n(\bar{k})$$

defined by

$$\lambda_\pi\{u_1\pi^{i_1}, \dots, u_n\pi^{i_n}\} = \{\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n\}$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$.

For any finite field extension E/F, there exists a canonical norm homomorphism, or *transfer map*, $N_{E/F} : K_n(E) \to K_n(F)$, which satisfies the projection formula, functoriality, and reciprocity (cf. [4]). Let k' be a finite extension of a discrete valuation field k. If the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_k$ in k' is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_k$-module (e.g. if k is complete), then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_{n+1}(k') \arrow[r, "(\partial_v)_v"] \arrow[d, "N_{k'/k}"'] & \bigoplus_{v} K_n(\kappa(v)) \arrow[d, "(N_{\kappa(v)/\bar{k}})_v"] \\ K_{n+1}(k) \arrow[r, "\partial"] & K_n(\bar{k}) \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

where v runs over all normalized discrete valuations of k' such that $\{x \in k : v(x) \geq 0\} = \mathcal{O}_k$, and $\kappa(v)$ is the residue field of v.

Now let us give Kato's definition of the residue homomorphism. Let k be a complete discrete valuation field, and let M be the fractional field of $\mathcal{O}_k[[T]]$. Let $k\{\{T\}\}$ be the completion of M with respect to the discrete valuation of M defined by the height 1 prime ideal $\mathfrak{m}_k\mathcal{O}_k[[T]]$ of $\mathcal{O}_k[[T]]$. In concrete terms, $k\{\{T\}\}$ is the field of all formal Laurent series $\sum\limits_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_iT^i$ over k such that $\nu_k(a_i)$ is bounded below and $\lim\limits_{i\to-\infty} a_i = 0$. The valuation $\nu_{k\{\{T\}\}}$ is given by $\inf\limits_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \nu_k(a_i)$ and the residue field of $k\{\{T\}\}$ is $\bar{k}((T))$.

Let $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \lhd^1 \mathcal{O}_k[[T]], \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}_k\mathcal{O}_k[[T]]\}$. By Weierstrass's preparation theorem, each element of $\mathfrak{S}$ is generated by an irreducible, distinguished polynomial (i.e. of the form $T^l + a_1T^{l-1} + \cdots + a_l$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}_k$). Let $res_M : K_{n+1}(M) \to K_n(k)$ be the composition map

$$K_{n+1}(M) \xrightarrow{(\partial_\mathfrak{p})_\mathfrak{p}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{S}} K_n(\kappa(\mathfrak{p})) \xrightarrow{(N_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})/k})_\mathfrak{p}} K_n(k). \tag{2.2}$$

Kato [9] proved the following

Theorem 2.1. *The homomorphism $res_M : K_{n+1}(M) \to K_n(k)$ satisfies*

$$res_M(U^iK_{n+1}(M)) \subset U^iK_n(k) \text{ for any } i \geq 1,$$

and hence induces a homomorphism

$$res_{k\{\{T\}\}} : \ \widehat{K}_{n+1}(k\{\{T\}\}) \cong \widehat{K}_{n+1}(M) \to \widehat{K}_n(k).$$

For later use we now state and prove a local-global norm formula for K-groups, which is certainly known, e.g. for K_1. We would like to write down the details here, since we cannot find them in the literature. For any field extension E/F let $j_{E/F}$ stand for the natural map $K_n(F) \to K_n(E)$. We have the functoriality $j_{E/E} = \mathrm{Id}$ and $j_{E/F} \circ j_{F/K} = j_{E/K}$. If E/F has degree d, then the composition

$$K_n(F) \xrightarrow{j_{E/F}} K_n(E) \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} K_n(F)$$

is multiplication by d. Note that in general $j_{E/F}$ is not injective, but its kernel is torsion provided that E is algebraic over F.

Proposition 2.2. *Let B/A be a finite extension of Dedekind domains, and E/F be the corresponding extension of fractional fields, which is assumed to be separable. Then for any discrete valuation y of A, and any $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$j_{F_y/F} \circ N_{E/F} = \prod_{Y|y} N_{E_Y/F_y} \circ j_{E_Y/E} : K_n(E) \to K_n(F_y),$$

where Y runs over all discrete valuations of B which extend y, i.e. $y|Y$.

Proof. Let $E = F(\alpha)$ be generated by some $\alpha \in E$ over F. Then $E = F[t]/(f)$, where f is the minimal polynomial of α. Let $f(t) = \prod_i f_i$ be the factorization of f into irreducible polynomials in $F_y[t]$, then $E \otimes_F F_y = \prod_i F_y[t]/(f_i)$ by the Chinese remainder theorem. We also have $E \otimes_F F_y = \prod_Y E_Y$, and thus the $F_y[t]/(f_i)$'s are exactly all the E_Y's.

Let us recall the norm homomorphism for K-groups. Let $F(t)$ be the function field. Any discrete valuation of $F(t)$ which is trivial on F is induced by a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F[t]$, except v_∞ which is defined by $v_\infty(t^{-1}) = 1$. Then there is a split exact sequece (Milnor)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K_{n+1}(F) \arrow[r] & K_{n+1}(F(t)) \arrow[r, "\partial=\coprod \partial_{\mathfrak{p}}"] & \coprod_{\mathfrak{p}} K_n(F[t]/\mathfrak{p}) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

split by the specialization map $\lambda_{t^{-1}}$ associated to v_∞ and the parameter t^{-1}. Since ∂_∞ is trivial on F, there are unique homomorphisms $N_{\mathfrak{p}} : K_n(F[t]/\mathfrak{p}) \to K_n(F)$ such that $\partial_\infty = -\sum_{\mathfrak{p}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}\partial_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $N_{E/F}$ is just $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$. The definition of $N_{E/F}$ is independent of the choice of α.

To get the proof, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_{n+1}(F(t)) \arrow[r, "\partial_{(f)}"] \arrow[d, "j_y"'] & K_n(F[t]/(f)) \arrow[d, "\bigoplus_{Y|y} j_{E_Y/E}"] \\
K_{n+1}(F_y(t)) \arrow[r, "\bigoplus \partial_{(f_i)}"] & \bigoplus_i K_n(F_y[t]/(f_i)).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that similar diagram also holds for any other irreducible polynomial $f' \in F[t]$. To conclude, we have to apply two facts: (i) ∂_∞ commutes with j's, i.e. is "compatible" with base field extension; (ii) The surjectivity of

$$K_{n+1}(F(t)) \xrightarrow{\coprod_{\mathfrak{p}} \partial_{\mathfrak{p}}} \coprod_{\mathfrak{p}} K_n(F[t]/\mathfrak{p}).$$

More precisely, for any $x \in K_n(E)$, we may choose $\tilde{x} \in K_{n+1}(F(t))$ s.t. $\partial_{(f)}\tilde{x} = x$ while $\partial_{\mathfrak{p}}\tilde{x} = 0$ for $\mathfrak{p} \neq (f)$. Then

$$j_{E/F} \circ N_{E/F}x = -j_{E/F} \circ \partial_\infty \tilde{x} = -\partial_\infty(j_y\tilde{x}) = \sum_{Y|y} N_{E_Y|F_y} \circ j_{E_y/E}x.$$

$\square$

Finally let us recall a very useful theorem of Kato ([9] Proposition 2), which states that if k'/k is a finite extension of complete discrete valuation fields, then $N_{k'/k}U^{ie}K_n(k') \subset U^iK_n(k)$ for all $i \geq 1$, where $e = e(k'/k)$ is the ramification index.

3. Tame symbols on two-dimensional local fields

3.1. **Two-dimensional local fields and classical tame symbols.**

A two-dimensional local field is a complete discrete valuation field F whose residue field $\overline{F}$ is a local field. We are only interested in the case that F is of characteristic zero and $\overline{F}$ is non-archimedean. Then we say that F has equal or mixed characteristic according to $\overline{F}$ has characteristic zero or not. Assume that an embedding of a local field k into F has been fixed. We want to define a tame symbol relative to k, which is a Steinberg symbol

$$\{,\}_{F/k} : F^\times \times F^\times \to k^\times.$$

And we shall denote by $\partial_{F/k}$ the induced homomorhism $\partial_{F/k} : K_2(F) \to K_1(k)$.

In the equal characteristic case, let k_F be the algebraic closure of k inside F, which is called the *constant field* of F. Then k_F is a finite extension of k and there is a k_F-isomorphism $F \cong k_F((t))$ by choosing a uniformiser $t \in F$. We define $\{,\}_{F/k}$ to be the composition

$$F^\times \times F^\times \xrightarrow{\{,\}_F} k_F^\times \xrightarrow{N_{k_F/k}} k^\times, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{,\}_F$ is the classical tame symbol

$$\{f,g\}_F = (-1)^{\nu_F(f)\nu_F(g)} \frac{f^{\nu_F(g)}}{g^{\nu_F(f)}} \mod \mathfrak{m}_F. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that in this case $\overline{F} = k_F$, and we shall denote by ∂_F the boundary map $\partial : K_2(F) \to K_1(k_F)$. The following functoriality is well-known.

Proposition 3.1. *Let F' be a finite extension of F. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_2(F') \arrow[r, "\partial_{F'}"] \arrow[d, "N_{F'/F}"'] & K_1(k_{F'}) \arrow[d, "N_{k_{F'}/k_F}"] \\
K_2(F) \arrow[r, "\partial_F"] & K_1(k_F).
\end{tikzcd}$$

3.2. **Tame symbols for standard fields.**

From now on assume that $\overline{F}$ has characteristic p. Let k_F be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ inside F, which is called the *coefficient field* of F. Then $k \subset k_F$ are finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Similarly as the equal characteristic case, let us define a tame symbol

$$\{,\}_F : F^\times \times F^\times \to k_F^\times \tag{3.3}$$

and compose the norm map $N_{k_F/k}$ to obtain $\{,\}_{F/k}$.

By structure theory of two-dimensional local fields of mixed characteristic, there is a two-dimensional local field L inside F such that F/L is finite, $\overline{L} = \overline{F}$, $k_L = k_F$ and L is k_L-isomorphic to $k_L\{\{T\}\}$. With the last property, L is called a *standard* two-dimensional local field of mixed characteristic.

Let M be the field of fractions of $A = \mathcal{O}_{k_L}[[T]]$. By Weierstrass's preparation theorem, any $f \in M^\times$ can be written as

$$f = f_0 \cdot \frac{a_f}{b_f} \cdot u_f, \tag{3.4}$$

where $f_0 \in k_L^\times$, a_f, $b_f \in \mathcal{O}_{k_L}[T]$ are relatively prime distinguished polynomials, and $u_f \in 1 + T\mathcal{O}_{k_L}[[T]]$. Define the *winding number* of f to be

$$w(f) = \deg(a_f) - \deg(b_f). \tag{3.5}$$

Fix an algebraic closure k_L^{al} of k_L. For any $P(T) \in k_L[T]$ denote by $V(P)$ the set of roots of P in k_L^{al} counting multiplicities. We now define a map $\{,\}_M : M^\times \times M^\times \to$

$k_L^\times$:

$$\{f,g\}_M = (-1)^{w(f)w(g)} \frac{{g_0}^{w(f)}}{f_0^{w(g)}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha_f \in V(a_f)} u_g(\alpha_f)}{\prod_{\alpha_g \in V(a_g)} u_f(\alpha_g)} \frac{\prod_{\beta_g \in V(b_g)} u_f(\beta_g)}{\prod_{\beta_f \in V(b_f)} u_g(\beta_f)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Since roots of a distinguished polynomial lie in $\mathfrak{m}_{k_L^{al}}$, the right-hand-side of (3.6) makes sense and belongs to $k_L^\times$, which can be easily seen.

Lemma 3.2. *$\{,\}_M$ is a Steinberg symbol, i.e. is bi-multiplicative and satisfies the Steinberg property $\{f, 1-f\}_M = 1$ for $f \in M^\times$, $f \neq 1$.*

Proof. $\{,\}_M$ is obviously bi-multiplicative. Let us prove the Steinberg property, which is in fact an elementary exercise. Write $g = 1 - f$ and suppose that f, g decompose as above. Then it is easy to see that $b_f = b_g$. Since $f + g = 1$, for any $\alpha_f \in V(a_f)$ one has $g(\alpha_f) = 1$, which implies that

$$u_g(\alpha_f) = g_0^{-1} \frac{b_g(\alpha_f)}{a_g(\alpha_f)}.$$

We also have a similar equation with f and g exchanged. For any $\beta \in V(b_f) = V(b_g)$, again from $f + g = 1$ we may derive that

$$f_0 a_f(\beta) u_f(\beta) = -g_0 a_g(\beta) u_g(\beta), \quad \frac{u_f(\beta)}{u_g(\beta)} = -\frac{g_0}{f_0}\frac{a_g(\beta)}{a_f(\beta)}.$$

Substituting these equations into (3.6), one can check that $\{f,g\}_M = 1$. □

Therefore $\{,\}_M$ descends to a homomorphism $\partial_M : K_2(M) \to K_1(k_L)$. We have the following

Proposition 3.3. *The homomorphism $\partial_M : K_2(M) \to K_1(k_L)$ satisfies*

$$\partial_M(U^i K_2(M)) \subset U^i K_1(k_L) = U^i_{k_L} \text{ for any } i \geq 1,$$

hence induces a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\partial}_L : \widehat{K}_2(L) \cong \widehat{K}_2(M) \to \widehat{K}_1(k_L) = K_1(k_L),$$

noting that k_L is complete.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the set of all normalized additive discrete valuations v of $k_L(X)$ such that $v(k_L^\times) = 0$, i.e. corresponding to the set of closed points of $\mathbb{P}^1_{k_L}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{B}$ corresponding to irreducible distinguished polynomials in $\mathcal{O}_{k_L}[T]$. Let $A_+ = \mathcal{O}_{k_L}[[T]] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{k_L}} k_L$, $A_- = \{f \in k_L(X) : v(f) \geq 0 \text{ if } v \in \mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{S} - \{\infty\}\}$. By [9] Proposition 1, $U^i K_n(M) = U^i K_n(A_+) + U^i K_n(A_-)$ (see [*loc. cit.*] for the precise definitions of these notations). From the definition of $\{,\}_M$ it is clear that $\partial_M(U^i K_2(A_+)) = 1$ for any $i \geq 1$.

Let us prove that $\partial_M(U^i K_2(A_-)) \subset U^i K_1(k_L)$ for any $i \geq 1$, i.e. prove that $\{f,g\}_M \in U^i_{k_L}$ for any $f \in A_-^\times$, $g \in U^i K_1(A_-)$. We may write g as $g_0 \dfrac{a_g}{b_g}$ such that $g_0 \in U^i_{k_L}$, a_g and b_g are distinguished polynomials such that $a_g \equiv b_g \mod \mathfrak{m}^i_{k_L}\mathcal{O}_{k_L}[T]$. In particular a_g and b_g have the same degree, i.e. $w(g) = 0$. Thus it is immediate that $\{f,g\}_M = g_0^{w(f)} \in U^i_{k_L}$. □

Now we define $\{,\}_L$ to be the composition

$$L^\times \times L^\times \longrightarrow K_2(L) \longrightarrow \widehat{K}_2(L) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}_L} K_1(k_L), \tag{3.7}$$

and $\{,\}_{L/k} = N_{k_L/k} \circ \{,\}_L$. We also denote by ∂_L the map $K_2(L) \to K_1(k_L)$ in (3.7). Before we proceed further let us give the explicit formula of $\{,\}_L$ (see also [3] section 4 for a similar treatment, where the symbol is only defined for a dense subfield $L^\flat$ of L). Any $f \in L^\times$ can be expressed in a unique way as

$$f = f_0 T^{w(f)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - f_{-i}T^{-i})(1 - f_i T^i), \tag{3.8}$$

with $w(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_0 \in k_L^\times$, $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{k_L}$, $f_{-i} \in \mathfrak{m}_{k_L}$ for $i > 0$, and $\lim_{i\to\infty} f_{-i} = 0$. In (3.8) we call $w(f)$ the *winding number* of f, extending the definition (3.5), and we call the sequence $\{f_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ the *Witt parameters* of f.

Lemma 3.4. *If $f, g \in L^\times$ have winding numbers $w(f), w(g)$ and Witt parameters $\{f_i\}, \{g_j\}$ respectively, then*

$$\{f, g\}_L = (-1)^{w(f)w(g)} \frac{g_0^{w(f)}}{f_0^{w(g)}} \prod_{i,j\geq 1} \frac{(1 - f_{-i}^{j/(i,j)} g_j^{i/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}}{(1 - f_i^{j/(i,j)} g_{-j}^{i/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}} \tag{3.9}$$

where (i, j) is the greatest common divisor of i and j.

Proof. The right-hand-side of (3.9) is a well-defined convergent product in $k_L^\times$. It can be checked easily that (3.6) and (3.9) coincide when both restricted on $A^\times \times A^\times$, where $A = \mathcal{O}_{k_L}((T)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{k_L}} k_L$ is a dense subfield of L. Indeed, consider the polynomials $1 - aT^{-i}$ and $1 - bT^j$ with $a, b \in k_L^\times$. Let $\alpha \in k_L^{al}$ be an ith root of a, and $\xi \in k_L^{al}$ be a primitive ith root of unity. Then ξ^j is a primitive $i/(i, j)$-th root of unity, and thus one has

$$\prod_{l=1}^{i} (1 - b\alpha^j \xi^{jl}) = (1 - b^{i/(i,j)} \alpha^{ji/(i,j)})^{(i,j)} = (1 - b^{i/(i,j)} a^{j/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}.$$

The validity of (3.9) follows from the continuity established by Proposition 3.3 and a limiting argument. □

The relation between the tame symbol and Kato's residue homomorphism is simply given by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *We have $\widehat{\partial}_L = res_L^{-1} : \widehat{K}_2(L) \to K_1(k_L)$, where $\widehat{\partial}_L$ and res_L are defined by Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 2.1 respectively.*

Proof. It suffices to show $\partial_M = res_M^{-1}$. This can be verified by direct calculations using definitions of classical tame symbols and the formula (3.6). Note that if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{S}$ is generated by an irreducible distinguished polynomial $f \in \mathcal{O}_{k_L}[T]$, and $u \in 1 + T\mathcal{O}_{k_L}[[T]]$, then we have

$$\prod_{\alpha\in V(f)} u(\alpha) = N_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})/k_L}(u \bmod \mathfrak{p}).$$

Applying this observation, the proposition can be essentially reduced to the Weil reciprocity law for classical tame symbols on $\mathbb{P}^1_{k_L}$. □

3.3. Rigidity of the tame symbol: reduction to Contou-Carrère symbols.

In [9] Kato proved the rigidity of the residue homomorphism, which implies the independence of the choice of the local parameter T. In conjunction with Proposition 3.5, this guarantees the well-definedness of the tame symbol for standard fields. Since Kato's proof involves a certain amount of labors, for the case of standard fields we offer an alternative proof which meanwhile serves another purpose of illustrating the connections between tame symbols and Contou-Carrère symbols. For completeness let us recall the basic theory of Contou-Carrère symbols [6].

For an artinian local ring R with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, any $f \in R((T))^\times$ can be written in exactly one way as

$$f = f_0 T^{w(f)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - f_{-i}T^{-i})(1 - f_i T^i)$$

with $w(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_0 \in R^\times$, $f_i \in R$, $f_{-i} \in \mathfrak{m}$ for $i > 0$, and $f_{-i} = 0$ for $i \gg 0$. We call $w(f)$ the winding number of f and $\{f_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ the Witt parameters of f. The Contou-Carrère symbol $\langle , \rangle_{R((T))} : R((T))^\times \times R((T))^\times \to R^\times$ is defined by

$$\langle f, g\rangle_{R((T))^\times} = (-1)^{w(f)w(g)} \frac{f_0^{w(g)}}{g_0^{w(f)}} \prod_{i,j\geq 1} \frac{(1 - f_i^{j/(i,j)} g_{-j}^{i/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}}{(1 - f_{-i}^{j/(i,j)} g_j^{i/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}}. \tag{3.10}$$

The definition makes sense because only finitely many terms in the infinite product differ from 1. The Contou-Carrère symbol is a Steinberg symbol, which generalizes the classical tame symbol, and also contains the residue as a special case (see the introduction of [2] for more precise statements, and [18] for a proof of the Steinberg property).

The relations between tame symbols for standard fields and Contou-Carrère symbols are obtained in the following lemma, via reduction modulo a power of $\mathfrak{m}_{k_L}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{k_L}\{\{T\}\} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_L$ be the ring of integers of L, and let $R_n = \mathcal{O}_{k_L}/\mathfrak{m}_{k_L}^n$ which is an artinian local ring for $n \geq 1$. Then there is a compatible family of natural maps $\mathcal{O}_{k_L}\{\{T\}\}^\times \to R_n((T))^\times$ for $n \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{k_L}\{\{T\}\}^\times = \varprojlim_n R_n((T))^\times.$$

Lemma 3.6. *For any $n \geq 1$, there following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{k_L}\{\{T\}\}^\times \times \mathcal{O}_{k_L}\{\{T\}\}^\times \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\{,\}_L"'] & R_n((T))^\times \times R_n((T))^\times \arrow[d, "\langle,\rangle^{-1}_{R_n((T))^\times}"] \\
\mathcal{O}_{k_L}^\times \arrow[r] & R_n^\times
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the left vertical arrow is the restriction of the tame symbol $\{,\}_L$, and the right vertical arrow is the inverse of the Contou-Carrère symbol $\langle,\rangle_{R_n((T))^\times}$.

Proof. Direct consequences of (3.9) and (3.10). □

Corollary 3.7. *The definition of $\{,\}_L$ does not depend on the choice of the k_L-isomorphism $L \cong k_L\{\{T\}\}$ (i.e. the choice of the local parameter T).*

Proof. It is known that the Contou-Carrère symbol is invariant under reparametrization of $R((T))$ in the sense that, if $t \in R((T))$ is an element with winding number equal to 1, then $\langle f, g\rangle_{R((T))^\times} = \langle f\circ t, g\circ t\rangle_{R((T))^\times}$. See [18] for a proof of this fact, which is an application of the "adjunction formula" [*loc. cit.*] (2.3).

From this fact together with Lemma 3.6, by taking limit we deduce that $\{,\}_L$ is well-defined when restricted on $\mathcal{O}_L^\times \times \mathcal{O}_L^\times$. To complete the proof, we note that $L^\times = \mathcal{O}_L^\times \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{k_L}^\times} k_L^\times$, while $\{f, a\}_L = a^{w(f)}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$, $a \in k_L^\times$. The winding number $w(f)$ is independent of the choice of T, hence we are done. □

Let us give the relation between the tame symbol for standard fields and that for the residue fields. It is known that (cf. [7]) for a commutative semi-local ring R, $K_1(R) = R^\times$ and $K_2(R)$ is generated by Steinberg symbols $\{r, s\}$ with $r, s \in R^\times$, subject to certain relations. Using this it is not hard to show that by restriction one may obtain a tame symbol $\partial_L : K_2(\mathcal{O}_L) \to K_1(\mathcal{O}_{k_L})$. On the other hand, we have a $\bar{k}_L$-isomorphism $\overline{L} \cong \bar{k}_L((t))$, and thus the classical tame symbol $\partial_{\overline{L}} : K_2(\overline{L}) \to K_1(\bar{k}_L)$.

Corollary 3.8. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_2(\mathcal{O}_L) \arrow[r, "\partial_L"] \arrow[d] & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{k_L}) \arrow[d] \\
K_2(\overline{L}) \arrow[r, "\partial_{\overline{L}}^{-1}"] & K_1(\bar{k}_L).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.6 with $n = 1$. Notice that the Contou-Carrère symbol reduces to the classical tame symbol when the base artinian local ring R is a field. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let $k \subset L$ be a local field. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_2(\mathcal{O}_L) \arrow[r, "\partial_{L/k}"] \arrow[d] & K_1(\mathcal{O}_k) \arrow[d] \\
K_2(\overline{L}) \arrow[r, "e(L/k)N_{\bar{k}_L/\bar{k}}\partial_{\overline{L}}^{-1}"] & K_1(\bar{k}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $e(L/k) = e(k_L/k)$ is the ramification index.

Proof. Combine Corollary 3.8 with the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{k_L}^\times \arrow[r, "N_{k_L/k}"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_k^\times \arrow[d] \\
\bar{k}_L^\times \arrow[r, "e(k_L/k)N_{\bar{k}_L/\bar{k}}"] & \bar{k}^\times.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Let us establish the functoriality of the tame symbol for standard fields.

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose that L' is a finite extension of L, and that L' is also standard. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_2(L') \arrow[r, "\partial_{L'}"] \arrow[d, "N_{L'/L}"'] & K_1(k_{L'}) \arrow[d, "N_{k_{L'}/k_L}"] \\
K_2(L) \arrow[r, "\partial_L"] & K_1(k_L).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. By functoriality of norm homomorphisms, we are reduced to two cases: $L' \cong k_{L'}\{\{T\}\}$ or $L' \cong k_L\{\{t\}\}$ with $t^e = T$, where the isomorphisms are compatible with $L \cong k_L\{\{T\}\}$. Let $A' = \mathcal{O}_{k'_L}[[T]]$ or $\mathcal{O}_{k_L}[[t]]$ for these two cases respectively, and let M' be the fractional field of A'. Recall that previously we defined M to be the fractional field of $A = \mathcal{O}_{k_L}[[T]]$. Let y be the height 1 prime ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{k_L}\mathcal{O}_{k_L}[[T]]$ of A. In both cases, $L' = M'_Y$ where Y is the unique height 1 prime ideal of A' lying over y. Applying Proposition 2.2 for the Dedekind domains A_y and $A'_y = (A\backslash y)^{-1}A'$, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_2(M') \arrow[r, "j_{L'/M'}"] \arrow[d, "N_{M'/M}"'] & K_2(L') \arrow[d, "N_{L'/L}"] \\
K_2(M) \arrow[r, "j_{L/M}"] & K_2(L).
\end{tikzcd}$$

By [9] Proposition 2, the norm homomorphism in Milnor K-theory is continuous for complete discrete valuation fields, hence passing to the limit yields

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{K}_2(M') \arrow[r, "\approx"] \arrow[d, "N_{M'/M}"'] & \widehat{K}_2(L') \arrow[d, "N_{L'/L}"] \\
\widehat{K}_2(M) \arrow[r, "\approx"] & \widehat{K}_2(L).
\end{tikzcd}$$

To finish the proof, it remains to show that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_2(M') \arrow[r, "\partial_{M'}"] \arrow[d, "N_{M'/M}"'] & K_1(k_{L'}) \arrow[d, "N_{k_{L'}/k_L}"] \\
K_2(M) \arrow[r, "\partial_M"] & K_1(k_L).
\end{tikzcd}$$

This follows from (2.1) and the relations $\partial_{M'} = res_{M'}^{-1}$, $\partial_M = res_M^{-1}$. □

3.4. **Tame symbols for non-standard fields.**

For a two-dimensional local field F of mixed characteristic which is not necessarily standard, choose a standard subfield L inside F such that F/L is finite and $k_F = k_L$. The tame symbol $\{,\}_F$ is defined to be the composition

$$F^\times \times F^\times \longrightarrow K_2(F) \xrightarrow{N_{F/L}} K_2(L) \xrightarrow{\partial_L} K_1(k_L), \tag{3.11}$$

and we denote by ∂_F the map $K_2(F) \to K_1(k_F)$. By [9] Proposition 3, ∂_F is independent of the choice of L. If we let $e := e(F/L) = e(F/k_L)$, then by [9] Proposition 2 one has

$$\partial_F(U^{ie}K_2(F)) \subset \partial_L U^i K_2(L) \subset U^i K_1(k_F) = U^i_{k_F}. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence we see that ∂_F factors through $\widehat{K}_2(F)$. We also remark that ∂_F induces a map $K_2(\mathcal{O}_F) \to K_1(\mathcal{O}_{k_F})$, similar with the case of standard fields.

Proposition 3.11. *Let F'/F be a finite extension of two-dimensional local fields of mixed characteristic. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_2(F') \arrow[r, "\partial_{F'}"] \arrow[d, "N_{F'/F}"'] & K_1(k_{F'}) \arrow[d, "N_{k_{F'}/k_F}"] \\
K_2(F) \arrow[r, "\partial_F"] & K_1(k_F).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Let L be a standard subfield of F used to define ∂_F, then $L' = k_{F'}L$ is also standard and can be used to define $\partial_{F'}$. By functoriality of norm homomorphisms for Milnor K-groups and Proposition 3.10, we have

$$\partial_F N_{F'/F} = \partial_L N_{F'/L} = \partial_L N_{L'/L} N_{F'/L'} = N_{k_{L'}/k_L} \partial_{L'} N_{F'/L} = N_{k_{F'}/k_F} \partial_{F'}.$$

$\square$

4. A reciprocity for two-dimensional normal local rings

4.1. **Reciprocity for complete rings.**

Assume that A is a two-dimensional normal complete local ring of characteristic zero with finite residue field of characteristic p. Let F be the fractional field and $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of A. For each height 1 prime $y \lhd^1 A$, the localization A_y is a discrete valuation ring, and denote by F_y the fractional field of $\widehat{A_y}$, which is a two-dimensional local field of characteristic zero.

Fix a finite extension $\mathcal{O}_k$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ inside A, where $\mathcal{O}_k$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension k of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ inside F. For each $y \lhd^1 A$, the constant/coefficient field $k_y := k_{F_y}$ of F_y is a finite extension of k. Let $\{,\}_{F_y} : F_y^\times \times F_y^\times \to k_y^\times$ be the tame symbol defined in section 3, and let $\{,\}_{F_y/k} = N_{k_y/k}\{,\}_{F_y} : F_y^\times \times F_y^\times \to k^\times$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f, g \in F^\times$. Then $\{f, g\}_{F_y} = 1$ for almost all $y \lhd^1 A$, and in $k^\times$ one has*

$$\prod_{y \lhd^1 A} \{f, g\}_{F_y/k} = 1.$$

Proof. By [14] Lemma 3.7, there is a subring B of A which contains $\mathcal{O}_k$ and is $\mathcal{O}_k$-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_k[[T]]$, such that A is a finite B-module. Then the theorem holds for B, by Proposition 3.5. Let M be the fractional field of B. Let us first prove that, for a fixed height 1 prime $y \lhd^1 B$,

$$\partial_{M_y/k} j_{M_y/M} N_{F/M}\{f, g\} = \prod_{Y|y} \{f, g\}_{F_Y/k}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\{f, g\}$ is the image of (f, g) in $K_2(F)$, and Y runs over the finitely many height 1 primes of A lying over y. Applying Proposition 2.2 for B_y and $A_y := (B\backslash y)^{-1}A$ one has the following local-global norm formula

$$j_{M_y/M} \circ N_{F/M} = \prod_{Y|y} N_{F_Y/M_y} \circ j_{F_Y/M} : K_2(F) \to K_2(M_y).$$

Composing $\partial_{M_y/k}$ on both sides of the last equation, we see that (4.2) follows from Proposition 3.1 and 3.11. Almost all $Y \lhd^1 A$ satisfy $p \notin Y$ and $f, g \in A_Y^\times$, which imply that it is the equal characteristic case and thus $\{f,g\}_{F_Y}=1$. The theorem now follows from (4.1) and the reciprocity for B. $\square$

4.2. **Reciprocity for incomplete rings.**

We remark that it is possible to remove the restriction that A being complete. Let $\mathcal{O}_k$ be a discrete valuation ring of characteristic zero with finite residue field. Assume that A is a two-dimensional normal local ring with finite residue field, and that A is the localization of a finitely-generated $\mathcal{O}_k$-algebra.

Let $\widehat{A}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_k$ be the completions, which then satisfy the conditions in section 4.1. Let F, $\widehat{F}$ and $\hat{k}$ be the fractional fields of A, $\widehat{A}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_k$ respectively. If $y \lhd^1 A$, we define

$$\{,\}_{F_y/\hat{k}} = \prod_{Y|y} \{,\}_{\widehat{F}_Y/\hat{k}} : F^\times \times F^\times \hookrightarrow \widehat{F}^\times \times \widehat{F}^\times \to \hat{k}^\times, \tag{4.2}$$

where Y runs over the finitely many height 1 primes of $\widehat{A}$ lying over y.

Following [14], a prime Y of $\widehat{A}$ is called *transcendental* if $Y \cap A = 0$. If $Y \lhd^1 \widehat{A}$ is not transcendental, then it is a prime minimal over $y\widehat{A}$ where $y = Y \cap A$. On the other hand, if Y is transcendental, then $p \notin Y$ and $F \subset \widehat{A}_Y$, which implies that $\{f,g\}_{\widehat{F}_Y/\hat{k}} = 1$ for any $f,g \in F^\times \subset \widehat{A}_Y^\times$. Hence we deduce

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f,g \in F^\times$. Then $\{f,g\}_{F_y}=1$ for almost all $y \lhd^1 A$, and in $\hat{k}^\times$ one has*

$$\prod_{y \lhd^1 A} \{f,g\}_{F_y/\hat{k}} = 1.$$

4.3. **Geometrization: reciprocity around a point.**

Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be a Dedekind domain of characteristic zero with finite residue fields, and let K be its fractional field. Let X be a two-dimensional normal scheme, flat and of finite type over $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$, with function field denoted by $K(X)$. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point lying over a closed point $s \in S$, and let $y \subset X$ be an irreducible curve (one-dimensional closed subscheme) passing through x. Then $A = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ satisfies the conditions in section 4.1, and contains the complete discrete valuation ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{K,s}$. Let $K(X)_x$ and K_s be the fractional fields of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K_s} := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{K,s}$ respectively.

Assume that the curve y has formal branches $Y_1,\dots,Y_n$ at $x \in y$, i.e.

$$y|_{\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}} = \bigcup_{1\le i\le n} Y_i,$$

where Y_i is irreducible in Spec $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ for $1 \le i \le n$. By abuse of notations, let $y \lhd^1 \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $Y_i \lhd^1 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ be the local equations of y and Y_i at x, then we have

$$\{Y_i, i=1,\dots,n\} = \{Y \lhd^1 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} : Y|y\}.$$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,Y}$ be the completion of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ with respect to the discrete valuation given by Y, and let $K_{x,Y}$ be its fractional field, which is a two-dimensional local field. Then we define

$$K_{x,y} := \bigoplus_{Y|y} K_{x,Y}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,y} := \bigoplus_{Y|y} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,Y}. \tag{4.3}$$

Notice that there are embeddings $K(X) \hookrightarrow K(X)_x \hookrightarrow K_{x,y}$. Now we let

$$\{,\}_{x,y} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{Y|y} \{,\}_{K_{x,Y}/K_s} : K_{x,y}^\times \times K_{x,y}^\times \to K_s^\times, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\{,\}_{K_{x,Y}/K_s} : K_{x,Y}^\times \times K_{x,Y}^\times \to K_s^\times$ is as defined in section 3. Then we have the following reciprocity for tame symbols around a point, which is a geometric translation of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.3. *Fix a closed point x and let $f, g \in K(X)_x^\times$. Then $\{f,g\}_{x,y} = 1$ for almost all y passing through x, and in $K_s^\times$ one has*

$$\prod_{y \subset X, y \ni x} \{f,g\}_{x,y} = 1.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 one has

$$\prod_{Y \lhd^1 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}} \{f,g\}_{K_{x,Y}/K_s} = 1.$$

If a height 1 prime Y of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ is not a formal branch at x of some irreducible curve $y \subset X$, then Y is transcendental and $\{f,g\}_{K_{x,Y}/K_s} = 1$. Hence the theorem follows. □

5. Reciprocities for arithmetic surfaces

Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ and K be as in section 4.3, and let X be an $\mathcal{O}_K$-curve, i.e. a normal scheme, proper and flat over $S = \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_K$, whose generic fibre X_K is a smooth and geometrically connected curve. We shall keep the notations in section 4.3. Moreover, for an irreducible curve $y \subset X$, let $K(X)_y$ be the fractional field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,y}$, the completion of the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,y}$. Then there are embeddings $K(X) \hookrightarrow K(X)_y \hookrightarrow K(X)_{x,y}$, where $x \in y$ is a closed point.

5.1. **Reciprocity along vertical curves.**

We shall establish the following reciprocity law for vertical curves on an arithmetic surface.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $y \subset X$ be an irreducible component of a special fibre X_s, where $s \in S$ is a closed point. Let $f, g \in K(X)_y^\times$, then $\prod_{x \in y} \{f,g\}_{x,y}$ converges to 1 in $K_s^\times$, where the product is taken over all closed points x of y.*

As preparations, let us first prove the following lemma on the convergence of the product in the last theorem.

Lemma 5.2. *With the conditions in Theorem 5.1, $\prod_{x \in y} \{f,g\}_{x,y}$ converges in $K_s^\times$. Moreover, the pairing*

$$K(X)_y^\times \times K(X)_y^\times \to K_s^\times, \quad (f,g) \mapsto \prod_{x \in y} \{f,g\}_{x,y}$$

is continuous.

Proof. Let π be a parameter of K_s and let $e_y = \nu_y(\pi)$, where ν_y is the normalized valuation on $K(X)_y$. Since $K(X)$ is dense in $K(X)_y$, for any $n \geq 1$ we may write $f = f_n u_n$, $g = g_n v_n$ with $f_n, g_n \in K(X)^\times$, $u_n, v_n \in U_{K(X)_y}^{n e_y}$. Almost all $x \in y$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) X_s has no other irreducible components passing through x,

(ii) $x \notin y'$ for any horizontal y' appearing in $\operatorname{div}(f_n)$ or $\operatorname{div}(g_n)$.

For such x, by Theorem 4.3 we have

$$\{f_n, g_n\}_{x,y} = \prod_{y' \ni x, y' \text{ horizontal}} \{f_n, g_n\}_{x,y'}^{-1} = 1,$$

where the last equality follows because $f_n, g_n \in \mathcal{O}_{X,y'}^{\times}$ and $K_{x,y'}$ is of equal characteristic for each horizonal $y' \ni x$. Then from (3.12) we deduce that

$$\{f, g\}_{x,y} = \{f_n, v_n\}_{x,y}\{u_n, g\}_{x,y} \in U_{K_s}^n.$$

Hence we have proved that for any $n \geq 1$, $\{f, g\}_{x,y} \in U_{K_s}^n$ for almost all $x \in y$. This implies $\prod\limits_{x \in y} \{f, g\}_{x,y}$ converges in $K_s^{\times}$. Guaranteeing the convergence, we have $\prod\limits_{x \in y} \{f, g\}_{x,y} \in U_{K_s}^n$ whenever f or g lies in $U_{K(X)_y}^{ne_y}$. Thus we obtain the continuity of the pairing. □

We also need the following simple but useful lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let C be a smooth and geometrically connected curve over a field K of characteristic zero, L an extension of K, and z a closed point of C.*

(i) Let z' be a closed point of C_L lying over z, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K(C)_z^{\times} \times K(C)_z^{\times} \arrow[r, "\{,\}_z"] \arrow[d] & k(z)^{\times} \arrow[d] \\
K(C_L)_{z'}^{\times} \times K(C_L)_{z'}^{\times} \arrow[r, "\{,\}_{z'}"] & k(z')^{\times},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\{,\}_z$ is the one-dimensional tame symbol associated to the closed point z on C, and $k(z)$ is the residue field of z; $\{,\}_{z'}$ and $k(z')$ are defined similarly.

(ii) Let z' run over all closed points of C_L lying over z, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K(C)_z^{\times} \times K(C)_z^{\times} \arrow[r, "N_{k(z)/K}\{,\}_z"] \arrow[d] & K^{\times} \arrow[d] \\
\prod_{z'|z} (K(C_L)_{z'}^{\times} \times K(C_L)_{z'}^{\times}) \arrow[r, "\prod_{z'|z} N_{k(z')/L}\{,\}_{z'}"] & L^{\times}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. (i) follows from the compatible isomorphisms $K(C)_z \cong k(z)((t))$, $K(C_L)_{z'} \cong k(z')((t))$, where $t \in K(C)$ is a local parameter at z. Since $k(z) \otimes_K L \cong \bigoplus\limits_{z'|z} k(z')$, we have $N_{k(z)/K} = \prod\limits_{z'|z} N_{k(z')/L}$. (ii) follows from this fact together with (i). □

Let $X' = X \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K_s}$, and $p : X' \to X$ be the natural morphism. Then p induces an isomorphism of special fibres $X'_s \cong X_s$, and for any $x' \in X'_s$, p induces an isomorphism of complete local rings $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p(x')} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X',x'}$. Note that X' is an $\mathcal{O}_{K_s}$-curve with generic fibre X_{K_s}.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $y \subset X$ be an irreducible curve and $x \in y$ be a closed point lying over s. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\prod\limits_{y'|y, y' \ni x'} (K(X')^{\times}_{y'} \times K(X')^{\times}_{y'}) \arrow[drr, "\prod_{y'|y}\{,\}_{x',y'}"] & & \\
K(X)^{\times}_{y} \times K(X)^{\times}_{y} \arrow[u] \arrow[rr, "\{,\}_{x,y}"] & & K_s^{\times},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where x' is the unique closed point of X'_s lying over x, and y' runs over irreducible curves of X' which lie over y and contain x'.

Proof. This follows from definitions (4.3) and (4.4). Indeed, let $y \lhd^1 \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ be the local equation of y, then

$$\{,\}_{x,y} = \prod_{Y \lhd^1 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}, Y|y} \{,\}_{K_{x,Y}/K_s}.$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{y'|y, y' \ni x'} \{,\}_{x',y'} &= \prod_{y' \lhd^1 \mathcal{O}_{X',x'}, y'|y} \left[\prod_{Y' \lhd^1 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X',x'}, Y'|y'} \{,\}_{K_{x',Y'}/K_s} \right] \\
&= \prod_{Y' \lhd^1 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X',x'}, Y'|y} \{,\}_{K_{x',Y'}/K_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

The equality of maps $\{,\}_{x,y} = \prod\limits_{y'|y, y' \ni x'} \{,\}_{x',y'}$ follows from the isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X',x'}$ remarked above. □

Since $\mathcal{O}_{X,y} = \mathcal{O}_{X,z} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_K,z}$ (see e.g. [11] Chap 8. Exercise 3.7) and X_K has the same function field as X, we see that $K(X)_y = K(X_K)_z$.

Corollary 5.5. *Let y be an irreducible horizontal curve on X, whose generic point z is a closed point of X_K. Then for $f, g \in K(X)^{\times}_y$ one has*

$$\prod_{x \in y \cap X_s} \{f, g\}_{x,y} = N_{k(z)/K} \{f, g\}_z$$

where $\{,\}_z$ is the usual tame symbol for the curve X_K at the closed point z.

Proof. Applying Lemma 5.3 for $C = X_K$ and $L = K_s$ we obtain

$$N_{k(z)/K} \{f, g\}_z = \prod_{z'|z} N_{k(z')/K_s} \{f, g\}_{z'},$$

where z' runs over all closed points of X_{K_s} lying over z. Each closed point $z' \in X_{K_s}$ has a unique reduction x' on X'_s, i.e. $\overline{z'}$ meets the special fibre X'_s at a unique point x'. This implies that $y' := \overline{z'}$ is the unique irreducible curve of X' lying over $y = \bar{z}$ and containing x'. Therefore by Lemma 5.4 we obtain $\{f, g\}_{x,y} = \{f, g\}_{x',y'}$, where $x = p(x') \in y \cap X_s$. To finish the proof it remains to show that $N_{k(z')/K_s} \{f, g\}_{z'} = \{f, g\}_{x',y'}$.

It can be shown that (see e.g. [15] Lemma 3.8) that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{K_s},z'} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{x',y'}$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{x',y'}$ is the completion of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X',x'}$ with respect to the discrete valuation given by

$y' \lhd^1 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X',x'}$. Then the one-dimensional tame symbol for the curve X_{K_s} at z' gives the tame symbol for the two-dimensional local field $K_{x',y'} = \mathrm{Frac}\, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{K_s},z'}$, which is of equal characteristic. $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 5.1. Let $y_1 := y, y_2, \dots, y_l$ be the irreducible components of X_s. By the continuity proved in Lemma 5.2 we only need to show that $\prod_{x\in y} \{f,g\}_{x,y} = 1$ for any $f, g \in K(X)^\times$. From the Weil reciprocity law for the curve X_K and Corollary 5.5, it follows that

$$\prod_{y \text{ horizontal}} \prod_{x\in y\cap X_s} \{f,g\}_{x,y} = 1.$$

Since $\{f,g\}_{z'} = 1$ for almost all closed points $z' \in X_{K_s}$, from the proof of previous corollary we see that only finitely many terms in the last product differ from 1. Hence we may rewrite it as

$$\prod_{x\in X_s} \prod_{y\ni x, y \text{ horizontal}} \{f,g\}_{x,y} = 1.$$

Using Theorem 4.3 we deduce that

$$\prod_{x\in X_s} \prod_{y\ni x, y \text{ vertical}} \{f,g\}_{x,y} = 1,$$

which by Lemma 5.2 can be rearranged as

$$\prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{x\in y_i} \{f,g\}_{x,y_i} = 1.$$

Let ν_i be the discrete valuation on $K(X)$ corresponding to y_i, $i = 1, \dots, l$. For any $n \geq 1$ choose $f_n \in K(X)^\times$ such that $\nu_1(f_n - 1) \geq n$, $\nu_i(f_n - f) \geq n$, $i = 2, \dots, l$. Replacing f by f/f_n and letting $n \to \infty$, we see that

$$\prod_{x\in y} \{f,g\}_{x,y} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{x\in y_i} \{f/f_n, g\}_{x,y_i} = 1.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

5.2. **Reciprocity along horizontal curves.**

Now assume that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the ring of integers of a number field K. Let $\overline{S} = S_f \cup S_\infty$ denote the set of places of K, where S_f denotes the finite places, i.e. closed points of $S = \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathcal{O}_K$, and S_∞ the infinite ones. For $v \in S_\infty$, $X_v := X_{K_v}$ is a smooth projective curve over $K_v = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Let y be an irreducible horizontal curve on X, then its generic point z is a closed point of X_K. Let $y \cap X_v$ denote the set of closed points of X_v lying over z, i.e. the preimage of z under the projection $X_v \to X_K$. Let $k(z)$ be the residue field of z, which is a finite extension of K. Then $y \cap X_{K_v}$ is finite and corresponds to the places of $k(z)$ extending v. We now compactify y by adding all the finite sets $y \cap X_v$ for $v \in S_\infty$, and by abuse of notation still denote by y the compactification.

For $x \in y \cap X_v$, define a tame symbol $\{,\}_{x,y} : K(X)_y^\times \times K(X)_y^\times \to K_v^\times$ by

$$K(X)_y^\times \times K(X)_y^\times \longrightarrow K(X_v)_x^\times \times K(X_v)_x^\times \xrightarrow{\{,\}_x} k(x)^\times \xrightarrow{N_{k(x)/K_v}} K_v^\times,$$

where $K(X_v)$ is the function field of X_v, $\{,\}_x$ is the one-dimensional tame symbol associated to the closed point x on X_v, and $k(x)$ is the residue field of x.

Applying Lemma 5.3 for $C = X_K$ and $L = K_v$ we obtain

Corollary 5.6. *With previous notations, for $f, g \in K(X)_y^\times$ one has*

$$\prod_{x \in y \cap X_v} \{f, g\}_{x,y} = N_{k(z)/K}\{f, g\}_z.$$

Let $\mathbb{I}_K$ be the idele group of K, and $\chi = \bigotimes_{v \in \overline{S}} \chi_v : \mathbb{I}_K \to S^1$ be an idele class character, i.e. a continuous character of $\mathbb{I}_K$ which is trivial on $K^\times$. For a closed point $x \in y$ lying over $v \in \overline{S}$, define the *absolute tame symbol* $\chi_{x,y}$ to be the composition

$$\chi_{x,y} : K(X)_y^\times \times K(X)_y^\times \xrightarrow{\{,\}_{x,y}} K_v^\times \xrightarrow{\chi_v} S^1.$$

Theorem 5.7. *Let y be an irreducible horizontal curve on X and $f, g \in K(X)_y^\times$. Then $\chi_{x,y}(f, g) = 1$ for almost all closed points $x \in y$, and in S^1 one has*

$$\prod_{x \in y} \chi_{x,y}(f, g) = 1.$$

Proof. Let π be the morphism $X \to S$. Using that $K(X)$ is dense in $K(X)_y$, we may write $f = \tilde{f}u_1$, $g = \tilde{g}u_2$, where $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in K(X)^\times$, $u_1, u_2 \in U^1_{K(X)_y}$. Then almost all $x \in y$ satisfy the condition that $x \notin y'$ for any irreducible curve $y' \neq y$ which appears in $\operatorname{div}(\tilde{f})$ or $\operatorname{div}(\tilde{g})$. For such x, we have $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times_{X,y'}$ for any $y' \ni x$, $y' \neq y$. Then by Theorem 4.3 we have

$$\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}_{x,y} = \prod_{y' \ni x, y' \neq y} \{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}^{-1}_{x,y'} = \prod_{y' \ni x, y' \text{ vertical}} \{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}^{-1}_{x,y'} \in \mathcal{O}^\times_{K_{\pi(x)}}.$$

By properties of tame symbols for two-dimensional local fields of equal characteristic, we also have

$$\{\tilde{f}, u_2\}_{x,y} = \{u_1, \tilde{g}\}_{x,y} = \{u_1, u_2\}_{x,y} = 1$$

for any $x \in y$. Therefore we have proved that $\{f, g\}_{x,y} \in \mathcal{O}^\times_{K_{\pi(x)}}$ for almost all $x \in y$. Since the character χ_v is unramified for almost all $v \in S_f$, we obtain the first statement of the theorem. The idele

$$\left(\prod_{x \in y \cap X_v} \{f, g\}_{x,y} \right)_{v \in \overline{S}}$$

is global by Corollary 5.5 and 5.6, hence the product formula follows. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was started during the author's visit at the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology. The author would like to thank Prof. Y. Zhu for very helpful discussions.

References

1. Arbarello, E., De Concini, C., Kac. V. G. The infinite wedge representation and the reciprocity law for algebraic curves, in *Theta Functions - Bowdoin 1987, Part 1 (Brunswick, ME, 1987).* Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **49**, Part 1, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 171-190.
2. Anderson, G. W., Pablos Romo, F. Simple proofs of classical explicit reciprocity laws on curves using determinant groupoids over an artinian local ring, Comm. Algebra. **32** (2004), no. 1, 79-102.
3. Asakura, M. On dlog image of K_2 of elliptic surface minus singular fibers, preprint, arXiv: math/0511190.
4. Bass, H., Tate, J. The Milnor ring of a global field, Algebraic K-theory II, Lecture Notes in Math. **342**, Springer Verlag, (1972), 349-446.
5. Beilinson A., Bloch S., Esnault H. ε-factors for Gauss-Manin determinants, Mosc. Math. J. **2** (2002), no. 3, 477-532.
6. Contou-Carrère, C. Jacobienne locale, groupe de bivecteurs de Witt universel et symbole modéré, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **318** (1994), no. 8, 743-746.
7. Dennis, B. K., Stein, M. K_2 of radical ideals and semi-local rings revisited, Algebraic K-theory II, Lecture Notes in Math. **342**, Springer Verlag, (1972), 281-303.
8. Fukaya, T. Explicit reciprocity laws for p-divisible groups over higher dimensional local fields, J. reine angew. Math **531** (2001), 61-119.
9. Kato, K. Residue homomorhisms in Milnor K-theory, in *Galois groups and their representations* (Nagoya, 1981), 153-172, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **2** (1983), North-Holland, Amsterdam.
10. Kurihara, M. The exponential homomorphisms for the Milnor K-groups and an explicit reciprocity law, J. reine angew. Math. **498** (1998), 201-221.
11. Liu, Q. Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves, translated from the french by Reine Erné, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics **6**, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2002.
12. Milnor, J. Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms, Invent. Math. **9** (1970), 318-344.
13. Milnor, J. Introduction to algebraic K-theory, Ann. Math. Studies **72**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
14. Morrow, M. An explicit approach to residues on and dualizing sheaves of arithmetic surfaces, New York J. Math. **16** (2010), 575-627.
15. Morrow, M. Grothendieck's trace map for arithmetic surfaces via residues and higher adeles, preprint, arXiv:1101.1883.
16. Osipov, D., Zhu, X. A categorical proof of the Parshin reciprocity laws on algebraic surfaces, Algebra Number Theory **5** (2011), no. 3, 289-337.
17. Parshin, A. N. Chern classes, adeles and L-functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. **341** (1983), 174-192.
18. Pablos Romo, F. A direct proof of the Steinberg property of the Contou-Carrère symbol, J. Algebra **319** (2008), no. 8, 3164-3174.
19. Pablos Romo, F. A Contou-Carrère symbol on $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{A}((t)))$ and a Witt residue theorem on $\mathrm{Mat}(n, \Sigma_C)$, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, 1-21.
20. Serre, J. P. Groupe algébriques et corps de classes, Hermann, Paris, 1959.
21. Tate, J. Residues of differentials on curves, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **4** (1968), no. 1, 149-159.

School of Mathematical Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China
E-mail address: `math.dwliu@gmail.com`